

Roy Arends

Jakob Schlyter

RIPE 47 29-01-2004



WHY

- Troubleshooting DNS problems
- Surveys: distribution of implementations
- Surveys: protocol compliance



HOW: assumptions

- Bogus data handling is unspecified
- Not all DNS spec is required to do DNS
- Not all DNS spec is implemented appropriately
- Implementations have bugs
- Implementations fixed bugs
- Implementations have features
- Implementations stopped having features



HOW: requirements

REQUIREMENTS

- Nothing breaks!
- Independent of data served
- Independent of configuration
- In at least possible queries
- With at least possible log-entries





HOW: assessment

- 16 bit header, we used 14 for classification
 - QR and Z bit are not used.
- Just header, question section: "." A IN
 - That's 16K possible headers (14 bit)
 - Responses tied to queries, tied to IP
- The set of equal {Q =>R} strains must be the same implementation....
- What followed was simple reconnaissance



HOW: reconnaisance

- Finding implementations that matched our strains.
 - Version.bind / version.server / etc / etc
 - Set up local installation. Works well with opensource
 - Asking operators at sites.
- LOTS of help. Thanks Peter, Bill, Brad, Mark, Mans,
 Miek and Jaap



WHAT: different implementations

- BIND 4/8/9
- NSD
- MS NT/2K/2K3
- MaraDNS
- PowerDNS
- MyDNS
- Nominum ANS/CNS
- NonSequitur DNS
- OakDNS
- UltraDNS
- Simple DNS plus

- Net::DNS::Nameserver
- VGRS ATLAS
- TinyDNS
- QuickDNS
- eNom DNS
- Incognito DNS commander
- Pliant DNS server
- Posadis
- PowerDNS
- Rbldnsd
- TotD



WHAT: still looking

- We finally have the original JEEVES sources.
 - Still busy with emulating PDP-10/tops-20
- Cisco stuff
- (running) BSD-4.3-tahoe/4.4-reno BIND versions.
- New breeds



WHAT not

- What does not help fingerprinting:
- Active Load Balancing
- Firewalls checking queries (checkpoint FW1-NGwAI)
- FORWARDERS
- DoS blocks



Extra's

- Remember the QR bit we didn't use?
 - QR bit (indicating query or response)
- Setting the QR bit in a Query (i.e. sending a response)
 makes some implementations respond anyway
- The latter can causes query storms between implementations.
- All those implementations have been fixed, check for the latest releases of your software.



SURVEYS

- Bill Manning did a survey on .com
- Mark Lottor did a survey on .in-addr.arpa
- Peter Koch did a survey on .de
- I'll ask them to put their results online (or post them to a list)





Where:tool / discussion

 The fpdns tool (version 0.9.0) will be made available some time next week at

www.rfc.se/fpdns

There will also be a place for surveys and discussions



Where

Thanks for listening

Reach us at <u>roy@dnss.ec</u> or <u>jakob@rfc.se</u>

